Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/671,141	HUGHES, WILLIAM JAMES
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James M. Hewitt	3679
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) James M. Hewitt.	(3)	
(2) Rudolf Siegesmund.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 March 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Double Patenting Rejection		
Claims discussed: 1 and 3		,
Prior art documents discussed: U.S. App. No. 10/633,471		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Applicant's representative agreed to file a terminal disclaimer to rejection of claims 1 and 3 as being unpatentable over claims of	obviate a nonstatutory obvi	ousness-type double patenting
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)